

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/039,595	12/31/2001	Andrew F. Glew	42390.P13735	2224		
7590 05/23/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
John P. Ward, Esq. BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			FIELDS, CO	FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
Seventh Floor	ROLOIT, TATLOR & Z.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2137	-		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/039,595	GLEW ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Courtney D. Fields	2137		

	Courtney D. Fields	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a No ving replies: (1) an amendm tice of Appeal (with appeal t	otice of Appeal. To avoid ab- ent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date sater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHO6.07(f).	e mailing date of the final reject IEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	tion. FILED WITHIN
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for retained the manths after the	amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Offi ailing date of the final rejection,	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 May 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered t	pecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (s		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	1		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	iany rejected cianns.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
=		Non-Compilant Amendment	(F10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		parate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ☐ will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde y and was not earlier preser	er appeal and/or appellant fa nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application .	cation in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) I	Paper No(s)	
	•	EMMANUEL L. MOISE	
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	3

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments made to the specification has introduced new issues.